arXiv:1607.00881v1 [quant-ph] 4 Jul 2016

Upper Bounds for the Poincaré Recurrence Time in Quantum Mixed States

V Gimeno[1] **and J M Sotoca**[2]

[1] Departament de Matemàtiques- Institut Universitari de Matemàtiques i Aplicacions, Universitat Jaume I, Castelló, Spain.

E-mail: gimenov@uji.es

[2] Departamento de Lenguajes y Sistemas Informáticos- Institute of New Imaging Technologies, Universitat Jaume I, Castelló, Spain.

E-mail: sotoca@uji.es

Abstract. In this paper by using geometric techniques, we provide upper bounds for the Poincaré recurrence time of a quantum mixed state with discrete spectrum of energies. In the case of discrete but finite spectrum we obtain two type of upper bounds; one of them depends on the uncertainty in the energy, and the other depends only on the (finite) number of states. In the case of discrete but non-finite spectrum we obtain in the same way two upper bounds defining the number of relevant states according to an statistical measurement. These bounds correspond to two different situations in the quantum recurrence process. The first bound is a recurrence time estimation purely quantum, while the other bound that is related with the number of relevant states survives in the classical limit.

PACS numbers: 03.65.-w, 03.67.Hk

Keywords: Poincaré recurrence time, mixed states, fidelity

1. Introduction

The classical Poincaré recurrence theorem states that an isolated mechanical system with a fixed finite energy and in a fixed bounded volume, will return after a long enough time, close to its initial mechanical state. Poincaré recurrence theorem follows from Liouville's theorem (see [1] for instance) due to the volume-preserving property of the Hamiltonian flux of the classical phase space. Nevertheless, the total amount of the volume of the phase space, and hence the recurrence time (length of time elapsed until the recurrence), depends on the Hamiltonian of the system.



Figure 1. The "recurrence paradox." If we let a gas expand in a bounded recipient after a sufficient large time it will come back very close to the initial position.

The Poincaré recurrence theorem has counterintuitive implications when is considered within the context of the Second Law of Thermodynamics. According to this law, the measure of disorder of a system will never decrease - it will either increase or stay the same. For example, considering an isolated system - if you open a partition separating a chamber that contain gas and a chamber in vacuum, after a time, the gas molecules will again be collected in the first chamber (see Figure1). This is known as the recurrence paradox and is most commonly reconciled by a claim that the amount of time that one must wait before the gas system returns to its initial state is orders of magnitude larger than the expected life of the universe.

Indeed Zermelo used Poincaré result's to argue that the Boltmann's formula for the entropy should decrease after some sufficiently long time. Poincaré argument does not give any indication on how to estimate such recurrence times and it is an important question from a theoretical point of view.

In the quantum world there is an equivalence of the Poincaré recurrence principle (see [2, 3, 4, 5, 34, 33]). Thus, these quantum systems can be considered having time-periodic Hamiltonians in which the quasi energy spectrum is discrete. Furthermore, the evolution of these systems is almost-periodic even though the system may be in a non-stationary state or in a mixed state.

The Poincaré recurrence is relevant in order to understand "non-reversible" phenomena, such as the decoherence of a quantum system induced by the environment (see [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]) where in order to obtain effective decoherence, a small quotient between the decoherence and recurrence time is required. An other place where the

Poincaré recurrence could play an important role is the lost of information in quantum black-holes (see [11, 12, 13]).

Consider the evolution of an initial pure state $|\Psi_0\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ by the Hamiltonian operator H given by the following Schrödinger's equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi(t)\rangle = -\frac{i}{\hbar}H|\Psi(t)\rangle, \quad |\Psi(0)\rangle = |\Psi_0\rangle. \tag{1}$$

Recurrence implies in particular that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists t_0 large enough such that

$$\| |\Psi(t_0)\rangle - |\Psi_0\rangle \|^2 < \epsilon$$

Under certain type of wave packet (see [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 32]) estimations for the recurrence time can be obtained. In [14], assuming that $|\Psi_0\rangle$ has a finite decomposition in the basis of eigenfunctions $\{|i\rangle\}$ of the Hamiltonian H, *i.e.*,

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i |i\rangle, \quad H|i\rangle = 2\pi\hbar\nu_i|i\rangle, \quad \| |\Psi_0\rangle \| = \| |i\rangle \| = 1, \tag{2}$$

the following estimation of the recurrence time appears

$$t_{\text{rec}} \sim \frac{1}{n^{1/2}\nu\sigma} \tag{3}$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\nu_i, \quad \sigma = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}R^{n-1}}{\Gamma\left[(n+1)/2\right]}, \quad R = \frac{(n\epsilon)^{1/2}}{2\pi}$$

In [15] the authors obtain several expressions for the recurrence time, between them we remark the following estimation

$$t_{\text{rec}} \sim \frac{1}{(n-1)^{1/2}\overline{\nu}_{m1}}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\left(\frac{8\pi}{\epsilon\,(n-1)}\right)^{(n-2)/2} \tag{4}$$

where here

$$\overline{\nu}_{m1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sum_{m=2}^{n}\left(\nu_m - \nu_1\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

We should remark here that the estimations given in equations (3) and (4) depend on the average of the energy (or the gap energy) of the quantum system.

The general case in quantum mechanics deals with mixed states. A mixed state cannot be described as a ket vector. Instead, it is described by its associated density matrix (or density operator). The temporal evolution of a mixed state ρ_0 is given by the von-Newmann law

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H, \rho(t)], \quad \rho(0) = \rho_0.$$

The transition probability between the mixed state ρ to the mixed state σ is given now by the *fidelity* $F(\rho, \sigma)$

$$F(\rho, \sigma) := \text{tr}\left[\sqrt{\sqrt{\rho}\sigma\sqrt{\rho}}\right].$$

Surprising enough in the case of finite number of states there is an upper bound for the recurrence time that does not depend on the specific Hamiltonian. In fact we can state

Theorem 1. *Let ρ_0 be a mixed state of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of finite dimension $n = \dim(\mathcal{H})$. Let $\rho(t)$ denote the unitary evolution given by the Hamiltonian H,* i.e.,

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H, \rho(t)], \quad \rho(0) = \rho_0.$$

Then, for any $t > 0$ and any $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ there exists a time t_{rec} such that

$$F\left(\rho_0, \rho\left(t_{\text{rec}}\right)\right) \geq \epsilon, \tag{5}$$

with $t_{\text{rec}} = j \cdot t$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that

$$1 \leq j \leq \sqrt{\pi}\frac{\Gamma(n^2)}{\Gamma(n^2 + \frac{1}{2})}\frac{1}{\int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{2-2\epsilon}}{2}} \sin^{2n^2-2}(s)ds} \quad .$$

Inequality (5) implies by the the Fuchsvan de Graaf inequalities

$$\|\rho(t_{\text{rec}}(\epsilon)) - \rho_0\|^2 \leq 4\left(1 - \epsilon^2\right). \tag{6}$$

If we take into account the uncertainty in the energy we can recover for a mixed state equivalent expressions to (3) and (4). The statement of the following theorem in fact do so

Theorem 2. *Let ρ_0 be a mixed state of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of finite dimension $n = \dim(\mathcal{H})$. Let $\rho(t)$ denote the unitary evolution given by the Hamiltonian H,* i.e.,

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H, \rho(t)], \quad \rho(0) = \rho_0.$$

Suppose that the initial mixed state ρ_0 has non-zero uncertainty in the energy $\triangle E_{\rho_0} \neq 0$ (with $\triangle E_{\rho_0} = \sqrt{\text{tr}\left(H^2\rho_0\right) - \text{tr}\left(H\rho_0\right)^2}$). Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ with

$$\epsilon < \pi \cdot \min_{k \in \{1, \cdots, n\}} \left\{\sqrt{\text{tr}\left(\rho_0 \left|k\right\rangle \left\langle k\right|\right)}\right\}$$

where $\{|k\rangle\}$ is a basis of ortonormal eigenstates of the Hamiltonian (i.e., *$H\left|k\right\rangle = E_k \left|k\right\rangle$ and $\langle j|k\rangle = \delta_{j,k}$), there exists a time $t_{\text{rec}}(\epsilon)$ such that*

$$F\left(\rho_0, \rho\left(t_{\text{rec}}(\epsilon)\right)\right) \geq 1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}, \tag{7}$$

with

$$\epsilon\frac{\hbar}{\triangle E_{\rho_0}} \leq t_{\text{rec}}(\epsilon) \leq \left(\frac{c_n \prod_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt{\text{tr}\left(\rho_0 \left|k\right\rangle \left\langle k\right|\right)}}{\epsilon^{n-1}}\right)\frac{\hbar}{\triangle E_{\rho_0}} \tag{8}$$

where $c_n = (n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})4^{n-1}\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$.

The estimation (8) can be simplified by using the mean-arithmetic mean-geometric inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt{\text{tr}\left(\rho_0 \left|k\right\rangle \left\langle k\right|\right)} &= \left[\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \text{tr}\left(\rho_0 \left|k\right\rangle \left\langle k\right|\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right]^{\frac{n}{2}} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \text{tr}\left(\rho_0 \left|k\right\rangle \left\langle k\right|\right)}{n}\right]^{\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{n}{2}}}\end{aligned}$$

Hence under the same hypothesis of the above theorem we can state

$$\epsilon\frac{\hbar}{\triangle E_{\rho_0}} \leq t_{\mathrm{rec}}(\epsilon) \leq \left(\frac{c_n}{\epsilon^{n-1}n^{\frac{n}{2}}}\right)\frac{\hbar}{\triangle E_{\rho_0}} \tag{9}$$

Note that the lower bound is the Mandelstam-Tamm inequality (see [16]). Observe, moreover, that by using the Fuchs-van de Graaf inequalities, the inequality (7) implies

$$1-\frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \leq \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{4}\|\rho(t_{\mathrm{rec}}(\epsilon))-\rho_0\|^2}$$

Therefore,

$$\|\rho(t_{\mathrm{rec}}(\epsilon))-\rho_0\|^2 \leq 4\left(1-\left(1-\frac{\epsilon^2}{4}\right)^2\right) = 2\epsilon^2\left(1-\frac{\epsilon^2}{8}\right) \tag{10}$$

We can use this inequality to obtain upper bounds in the case of a discrete but non-finite spectrum, from the problem statement proposed in [4] where the density matrix is an almost periodic function of time. Consider $\rho(t)$ the density matrix of a system for a set of discrete stationary states, with energy levels $E_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, where some of them may have the same value if they are degenerate. In energy representation the matrix elements are defined as

$$\rho_{kk'}(t) = \langle k|\rho(t)|k'\rangle \quad .$$

Let $T_k = |k\rangle\langle k|$ be the projection operator onto the kth stationary state, then

$$\rho^{kk'}(t) = T_k\rho(t)T_{k'} \quad ,$$

is the matrix which energy representation has only one nonzero element, equal to $\rho_{kk'}(t)$ and located in (k, k'). These matrices are orthogonal in density space

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(\rho^{kk'}(t)^\dagger\rho^{k''k'''}(t)\right) = \delta_{kk''}\delta_{k'k'''}|\rho_{kk'}(t)|^2 \quad ,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k'=0}^{\infty}\rho^{kk'}(t)\\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k'=0}^{\infty}\rho^{kk'}(0)e^{i\omega_{kk'}t} \quad ,\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_{kk'} = \frac{E_{k'}-E_k}{\hbar}$. Now, regard the finite sum

$$\sigma^N(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N}\sum_{k'=0}^{N}\rho^{kk'}(t) \quad ,$$

as an approximation to $\rho(t)$. Then, the squared error is

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho(t)-\sigma^N(t)\|^2 &= \|\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\sum_{k'=N+1}^{\infty}\rho^{kk'}(t)\|^2\\ &= \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty}\sum_{k'=N+1}^{\infty}\|\rho^{kk'}(t)\|^2\\ &= \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty}\sum_{k'=N+1}^{\infty}\|\rho^{kk'}(0)\|^2 \quad .\end{aligned}$$

The second equality is obtained from the orthogonality of $\rho^{kk'}$. Because the error is not time-dependent, $\sigma^N(t)$ converges uniformly to $\rho(t)$ (in the $\|\ \|$-norm sense). Let us denote by δ_N the time-independent quantity

$$\delta_N := \|\rho(t) - \sigma^N(t)\|^2 = \|\rho(0) - \sigma^N(0)\|^2$$

So, $\rho(t)$ can be approximated by $\sigma^N(t)$ in the sense that $\delta_N \to 0$ when $N \to \infty$. In such a case we shall say that the mixed state ρ has N *relevant states with error term* of δ_N.

Observe that the trace of $\sigma^N(t)$ is time-independent because

$$\mathrm{tr}(\sigma^N(t)) = \mathrm{tr}(e^{\frac{-iH}{\hbar}t}\sigma^N(0)e^{\frac{iH}{\hbar}t}) = \mathrm{tr}(\sigma^N(0))$$

We can define

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^N(t) := \frac{1}{\mathrm{tr}(\sigma^N(0))}\sigma^N(t)$$

then

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^N(t) = e^{\frac{-iH}{\hbar}t}\,\widetilde{\sigma}^N(0)\,e^{\frac{iH}{\hbar}t}, \quad \mathrm{tr}(\widetilde{\sigma}^N(t)) = 1.$$

Hence, $\widetilde{\sigma}^N$ fulfills the hypothesis of theorem 2 and theorem 1. But by using the triangular inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho(t_{\mathrm{rec}}(\epsilon)) - \rho(0)\| &\leq \|\rho(t_{\mathrm{rec}}(\epsilon)) - \sigma^N(t_{\mathrm{rec}}(\epsilon))\| \\ &\quad + \|\sigma^N(t_{\mathrm{rec}}(\epsilon)) - \sigma^N(0)\| + \|\sigma^N(0) - \rho(0)\| \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{\delta_N} + \|\sigma^N(t_{\mathrm{rec}}(\epsilon)) - \sigma^N(0)\|\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\|\rho(t_{\mathrm{rec}}(\epsilon)) - \rho(0)\| \leq 2\sqrt{\delta_N} + \|\widetilde{\sigma}^N(t_{\mathrm{rec}}(\epsilon)) - \widetilde{\sigma}^N(0)\|\,\mathrm{tr}(\sigma^N(0))$$

Observe that

$$P_N := \mathrm{tr}(\sigma^N(0)) = \sum_{k=1}^{N}\rho_{k,k}(0) = \sum_{k=1}^{N}\langle k|\rho(0)|k\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{N}\mathrm{tr}\left(\rho(0)\,|k\rangle\,\langle k|\right)$$

is the total probability of ρ to be in one of the relevant N states. By using inequalities (10) and (6) we can state the following two corollaries

Corollary 3. *Let ρ_0 be a mixed state of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\rho(t)$ denote the unitary evolution given by the Hamiltonian H,* i.e.*,*

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H, \rho(t)], \quad \rho(0) = \rho_0.$$

Suppose that the spectrum of the Hamiltonian is discrete and ρ_0 has N relevant states with error term δ_N. Suppose that N-approximation to ρ_0, $\widetilde{\sigma}^N$ has non-zero uncertainty in the energy $\triangle E_{\widetilde{\sigma}^N} \neq 0$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ with

$$\epsilon < \pi \cdot \min_{k\in\{1,\cdots,N\}}\left\{\sqrt{\mathrm{tr}\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^N\,|k\rangle\,\langle k|\right)}\right\}$$

where $\{|k\rangle\}$ *is a basis of ortonormal eigenstates of the Hamiltonian, there exists a time* $t_{\rm rec}(\epsilon)$ *such that*

$$\|\rho(t_{\rm rec}(\epsilon)) - \rho(0)\| \leq 2\sqrt{\delta_N} + \sqrt{2}P_N\epsilon\sqrt{1-\frac{\epsilon^2}{8}}, \tag{11}$$

with

$$\epsilon\frac{\hbar}{\triangle E_{\widetilde{\sigma}^N}} \leq t_{\rm rec}(\epsilon) \leq \left(\frac{c_N}{\epsilon^{N-1}N^{\frac{N}{2}}}\right)\frac{\hbar}{\triangle E_{\widetilde{\sigma}^N}} \tag{12}$$

where $c_N = (N-1)\Gamma(\frac{N-1}{2})4^{N-1}\pi^{\frac{N+1}{2}}$.

Corollary 4. *Let* ρ_0 *be a mixed state of the Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}$*. Let* $\rho(t)$ *denote the unitary evolution given by the Hamiltonian* H*,* i.e.*,*

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H, \rho(t)], \quad \rho(0) = \rho_0.$$

Suppose that the Hamiltonian has discrete spectrum and ρ_0 *has* N *relevant states with error term* δ_N*. Then, for any* $t > 0$ *and any* $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ *there exists a time* $t_{\rm rec}$ *such that*

$$\|\rho(t_{\rm rec}(\epsilon)) - \rho(0)\| \leq 2\sqrt{\delta_N} + 2P_N\left(1-\epsilon^2\right), \tag{13}$$

with $t_{\rm rec} = j \cdot t$*,* $j \in \mathbb{N}$ *and such that*

$$1 \leq j \leq \sqrt{\pi}\frac{\Gamma(N^2)}{\Gamma(N^2+\frac{1}{2})}\frac{1}{\int_0^{\frac{\sqrt{2-2\epsilon}}{2}}\sin^{2N^2-2}(s)ds} \quad .$$

2. Geometry of the mixed states space. Proof of Theorem 1

The most general state, the so-called *mixed state*, is represented by a *density operator* in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. In this part of the paper we always assume that $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = n < \infty$, being $\mathcal{H}$ a vector space on the complex field ($\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^n$). The density operator ρ is in fact a *density matrix*. Let us denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the space of density matrices. Recall that a density matrix is a complex matrix ρ that satisfies the following properties:

(i) ρ is a Hermitian matrix, i.e, the matrix coincides with its conjugate transpose matrix: $\rho = \rho^\dagger$.

(ii) ρ is positive $\rho \geq 0$. It means that any eigenvalue of A is non-negative.

(iii) ρ is normalized by the trace $\mathrm{tr}(\rho) = 1$.

Let us denote by

$$\mathcal{P}^+ := \{\rho \in \mathcal{D} \,:\, \rho > 0\}$$

Let us consider the following sphere

$$\widetilde{S} := \{W \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \,:\, \mathrm{tr}(WW^\dagger) = 1\}$$

and the following open dense set of $\widetilde{S}$,

$$S := \widetilde{S} \cap \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$$

Since $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a vector space the tangent space $T_pM_n(\mathbb{C})$ at $p \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be identified with $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ itself. Moreover we will denote by g the Euclidean metric in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, namely,

$$g(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(X^\dagger Y + XY^\dagger)$$

and also we will denote by g the restriction of the above metric tensor to $\widetilde{S}$. We are going to prove that the map $T_t : \widetilde{S} \to \widetilde{S}$ given by

$$T_t(x) = e^{-\frac{iHt}{\hbar}}x$$

is an isometry of $\widetilde{S}$. Suppose that we have two vectors $X, Y \in T_x\widetilde{S}$ then we need to check if

$$g(X,Y) = g(dT_t(X), dT_t(Y))$$

In order to do that consider the following two curves $\gamma_X : \mathbb{R} \to \widetilde{S}$ and $\gamma_Y : \mathbb{R} \to \widetilde{S}$ such that

$$\gamma_X(0) = \gamma_Y(0) = x, \quad \dot{\gamma}_X(0) = X, \quad \dot{\gamma}_Y(0) = Y.$$

Then,

$$dT_t(X) = \frac{d}{ds}T_t(\gamma_X(s))|_{s=0} = \frac{d}{ds}(e^{-\frac{iHt}{\hbar}}\gamma_X(s))|_{s=0} = e^{-\frac{iHt}{\hbar}}X$$

For $dT_t(Y)$ we can obtain in an analogous way that $dT_t(Y) = e^{-\frac{iHt}{\hbar}}Y$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} g(dT_t(X), dT_t(Y)) &= g(e^{-\frac{iHt}{\hbar}}X, e^{-\frac{iHt}{\hbar}}Y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}((e^{-\frac{iHt}{\hbar}}X)^\dagger e^{-\frac{iHt}{\hbar}}Y + (e^{-\frac{iHt}{\hbar}}Y)^\dagger e^{-\frac{iHt}{\hbar}}X) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(X^\dagger Y + Y^\dagger X) = g(X,Y) \end{aligned}$$

That is what had to be proved. Since T_t is an isometry in a metric space of finite measure and applying Theorem 6 to $\widetilde{S}$, taking into account the volume of a geodesic ball in $\mathbb{S}^{2n^2-1}$ (see equation A.1) we conclude that

Proposition 5. *For any $A \in \widetilde{S}$ and any $t \geq 0$, and any $r > 0$ there exists N_r such that*

$$\mathrm{d}^{\widetilde{S}}(A, T_{N_r t}(A)) \leq r$$

with

$$1 \leq N_r \leq \frac{\mu(\widetilde{S})}{\mu(B_{r/2})}$$

with

$$\frac{\mu(\widetilde{S})}{\mu(B_{r/2})} = \frac{\int_0^\pi \sin^{2n^2-2}(s)ds}{\int_0^{r/2} \sin^{2n^2-2}(s)ds}$$

Following Uhlmann [17, 18, 19, 20], Bengtsson [21], Chruściński [22], and Dąbrowski [23, 24, 25] results, we can consider the following principal fiber bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{U}(n) & \longrightarrow & S \\ & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & \mathcal{P}^+ \end{array}$$

where the projection $\pi : S \to \mathcal{P}^+$ is given by $\pi(A) = AA^\dagger$ and $\mathrm{U}(n)$ acts on S by right multiplication, *i.e.*, $(u, A) \to Au$ for $A \in S$ and $u \in \mathrm{U}(n)$. Taking into account that since S is an open and dense subset of $\widetilde{S}$, we can endow S with the restriction of the metric g of $\widetilde{S}$. Then, by using this metric structure the following fiber bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{U}(n) & \longrightarrow & (S, g) \\ & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & (\mathcal{P}^+, g_B) \end{array}$$

becomes a Riemannian submersion. Where g_B is the Bures metric in $\mathcal{P}^+$. With such a metric $\mathrm{U}(n)$ acts by isometries on S. Notice moreover that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\pi \circ T_t(A)) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\left[H, \pi \circ T_t(A)\right]$$

Hence by using the global defined section $s : \mathcal{P}^+ \to S$ given by $s(\rho) = \sqrt{\rho}$ (with a particular choice of the square root branch), the general solution of the von Neumann equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho(t)) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\left[H, \rho(t)\right], \quad \rho(0) = \rho_0$$

can be obtained as

$$\rho(t) = \pi\left(T_t\left(s\left(\rho_0\right)\right)\right) \tag{14}$$

Taking into account that $\mathrm{d}^S = \mathrm{d}^{\widetilde{S}}$ and that since π is a Riemannian submersion, then

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Bures}}(\pi(A), \pi(T_{N_r t}(A))) \leq \mathrm{d}^S(A, T_{N_r t}(A)) \leq r$$

Hence, the theorem follows by using the above inequality for the particular case (see equation (14)) of $A = s(\rho_0)$, because

$$\sqrt{2 - 2F(\rho(N_r t), \rho_0)} = \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Bures}}(\rho(t), \rho_0) \leq r$$

and we can set

$$\epsilon = 1 - \frac{r^2}{2}, \quad j = N_r, \tag{15}$$

then

$$F(\rho(j \cdot t), \rho_0) \geq \epsilon$$

3. Proof of theorem 2

The recurrence time for the Hamiltonian H is the same that the recurrence time for the zero-point rescaled Hamiltonian $H_\lambda = H - \lambda I$. Given an initial state ρ_0, by using equation (14), the temporal evolution is given by $\rho(t) = \pi(e^{-\frac{it}{\hbar}(H-\lambda I)}W)$ where $W = s(\rho_0)$. But given the basis $\{|k\rangle\}$ of eigenvalues for the Hamiltonian,

$$e^{-\frac{it}{\hbar}(H-\lambda I)}W = e^{-\frac{it}{\hbar}(H-\lambda I)}\sum_{k=1}^{n}|k\rangle\langle k|\,W = \sum_{k=1}^{n}e^{-\frac{it}{\hbar}(E_k-\lambda)}|k\rangle\langle k|\,W$$

Then, the curve $\gamma(t) = e^{-\frac{it}{\hbar}(H-\lambda I)}W$ is a curve in the torus

$$\mathbb{T}^n(W) := \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{i\theta_k} |k\rangle \langle k| W \,:\, \theta_j \in [0, 2\pi],\, \forall j \right\}$$

We can make use of the following diffeomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n(W), \quad \varphi(e^{i\theta_1}, \cdots, e^{i\theta_n}) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{i\theta_k} |k\rangle \langle k| W \right)$$

and the inclusion map $\mathbb{T}^n(W) \subset M_n(\mathbb{C})$ to pull-back the metric from $M_n(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(X_j, X_l) &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left(e_j^\dagger e_l + e_l^\dagger e_j \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \Big(W^\dagger |j\rangle \langle j| e^{-i\theta_j}(-i) i e^{i\theta_l} |l\rangle \langle l| W \\ &\qquad + W^\dagger |l\rangle \langle l| e^{-i\theta_l}(-i) i e^{i\theta_j} |j\rangle \langle j| W \Big) \\ &= \delta_{j,l} \cdot \operatorname{tr} (\rho_0 |j\rangle \langle j|) \end{aligned}$$

where $e_j = d\varphi(X_j)$ and $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ is the basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}^n$ (see Appendix A.3) given by

$$X_j = \left(\overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{j-1 \text{ times}}, i e^{i\theta_j}, 0, \ldots, 0 \right)$$

The metric g is a bi-invariant metric and since $[X_j, X_l] = 0$, the torus $(\mathbb{T}^n, g)$ is a flat torus. In fact, $(\mathbb{T}^n, g)$ geometrically is the following torus

$$\mathbb{T}^n := \overset{n}{\underset{k=1}{\times}} \mathbb{S}^1 \left(\sqrt{\operatorname{tr} (\rho_0 |k\rangle \langle k|)} \right)$$

where $\mathbb{S}^1 \left(\sqrt{\operatorname{tr} (\rho_0 |k\rangle \langle k|)} \right)$ is the circle of radius $\sqrt{\operatorname{tr} (\rho_0 |k\rangle \langle k|)}$. The injectivity radius (see proposition 8) is given by $\operatorname{inj}(\mathbb{T}^n) = \pi \cdot \min_k \left\{ \sqrt{\operatorname{tr} (\rho_0 |k\rangle \langle k|)} \right\}$. Moreover, the curve $\widetilde{\gamma} = \varphi^{-1} \circ \gamma$ is a geodesic curve because is the following curve

$$\widetilde{\gamma}(t) = (e^{-i\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_1 - \lambda)}, \cdots, e^{-i\frac{t}{\hbar}(E_n - \lambda)})$$

The length of $\widetilde{\gamma}([0, t])$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{length}(\widetilde{\gamma}([0,t])) &= \int_0^t \sqrt{g(\dot{\widetilde{\gamma}}(s), \dot{\widetilde{\gamma}}(s))} ds \\ &= \left\| -\frac{E_1 - \lambda}{\hbar} X_1 - \cdots - \frac{E_n - \lambda}{\hbar} X_n \right\| t \\ &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{E_1 - \lambda}{\hbar} \right)^2 + \cdots + \left(\frac{E_n - \lambda}{\hbar} \right)^2} t. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

If $\sqrt{\left(\frac{E_1-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2+\cdots+\left(\frac{E_n-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2}\neq 0$, then for any $t<\frac{\mathrm{inj}(\mathbb{T}^2)}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{E_1-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2+\cdots+\left(\frac{E_n-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2}}$ we have

$$\mathrm{dist}^{\mathbb{T}^n}(\widetilde{\gamma}(0),\widetilde{\gamma}(t))=\sqrt{\left(\frac{E_1-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2+\cdots+\left(\frac{E_n-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2}\,t.$$

Hence by using the definition of $t_{\mathrm{rec}}(\epsilon)$, for any $\epsilon<\frac{\mathrm{inj}(\mathbb{T}^2)}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{E_1-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2+\cdots+\left(\frac{E_n-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2}}$ we have

$$\mathrm{dist}^{\mathbb{T}^n}(\widetilde{\gamma}(0),\widetilde{\gamma}(t))>\epsilon \tag{17}$$

if $\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{E_1-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2+\cdots+\left(\frac{E_n-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2}}<t<t_{\mathrm{rec}}(\epsilon)$.

Now, we are going to obtain upper bounds for the recurrence time by using the volume of the tube $\widetilde{\gamma}^\theta([0,t])$. Recall that the tube $\widetilde{\gamma}^\theta([0,t])$ is the set of points of $\mathbb{T}^n$ which are at distance at most θ through normal geodesics emanating from $\widetilde{\gamma}([0,t])$. To estimate the volume of such a tube we first need to estimate the minimal focal distance of the tube.

The tube $\widetilde{\gamma}^\theta([0,t])$ with $\theta<\epsilon/2$ and $\epsilon<\mathrm{inj}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ has no self-intersections for $t<t_{\mathrm{rec}}(\epsilon)$. Because, otherwise suppose that $0\leq t_1<t_2<t_{\mathrm{rec}}(\epsilon)$, and $\|n_1\|=\|n_2\|=1$ in the normal bundle of $\widetilde{\gamma}$

$$e^{in_1s_1}\widetilde{\gamma}(t_1)=e^{in_2s_2}\widetilde{\gamma}(t_2)$$

Then $e^{in_1s_1}e^{\frac{-it_1}{\hbar}(H-\lambda I)}\widetilde{\gamma}(0)=e^{in_2s_2}\widetilde{\gamma}(t_2)$, but therefore

$$e^{i(n_1s_1-n_2s_2)}\widetilde{\gamma}(0)=e^{\frac{t_1-t_2}{\hbar}(H-\lambda I)}\widetilde{\gamma}(0)=\widetilde{\gamma}(t_2-t_1) \tag{18}$$

Since $\|i(n_1s_1-n_2s_2)\|\leq 2\theta<\epsilon$, and $\beta(t)=e^{i(n_1s_1-n_2s_2)t}\widetilde{\gamma}(0)$ is a geodesic curve joining $\beta(0)=\widetilde{\gamma}(0)$ and $\beta(1)=\widetilde{\gamma}(t_2-t_1)$,

$$\mathrm{dist}^{\mathbb{T}^n}(\widetilde{\gamma}(0),\widetilde{\gamma}(t_2-t_1))<\epsilon$$

if $t_2-t_1>\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{E_1-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2+\cdots+\left(\frac{E_n-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2}}$ this is a contradiction with inequality (17). If otherwise $t_2-t_1\leq\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{E_1-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2+\cdots+\left(\frac{E_n-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2}}$, then

$$(t_2-t_1)\sqrt{\left(\frac{E_1-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2+\cdots+\left(\frac{E_n-\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2}\leq\epsilon<\mathrm{inj}(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

And hence, by (18),

$$i\frac{t_1-t_2}{\hbar}(H-\lambda I)=i(n_1s_1-n_2s_2)$$

But $i\frac{t_1-t_2}{\hbar}(H-\lambda I)$ belongs to the tangent bundle of $\widetilde{\gamma}$ and $i(n_1s_1-n_2s_2)$ belongs to the normal bundle of $\widetilde{\gamma}$, and hence a contradiction.

Since $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is a geodesic of $\mathbb{T}^n$, and since $\mathbb{T}^n$ is a flat manifold, there are no focal points along a normal geodesic to a geodesic of $\mathbb{T}^n$ (see [26, proposition 2.12]), we have proved that there are no overlaps, then

$$\mathrm{minfoc}(\widetilde{\gamma}([0,t]))<\theta.$$

for any $t < t_{\rm rec}(\epsilon)$, $\theta < \epsilon/2$, $\epsilon < {\rm inj}(\mathbb{T}^n) = \pi \cdot \min_k \left\{ \sqrt{{\rm tr}\left(\rho_0 \left|k\right\rangle \left\langle k\right|\right)} \right\}$. Therefore, the θ-tubular neighborhood $\widetilde{\gamma}^\theta([0,t])$ of $\widetilde{\gamma}([0,t])$ has volume (see [27, corollary 8.6])

$$\mu(\widetilde{\gamma}^\theta([0,t])) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}\theta^{n-1} \cdot {\rm length}(\widetilde{\gamma}([0,t]))$$

But using equality (16)

$$\mu((\gamma^\theta([0,t])) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}\theta^{n-1} \cdot \frac{t}{\hbar}\sqrt{(E_1-\lambda)^2 + \cdots + (E_n-\lambda)^2}$$

Hence, taking into account that $\mu(\widetilde{\gamma}^\theta([0,t_{\rm rec}(\epsilon)]) \leq \mu(\mathbb{T}^n)$ we obtain

$$t_{\rm rec}(\epsilon) \leq \hbar \frac{(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})2^{n-1}\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\prod\limits_k \sqrt{{\rm tr}\left(\rho_0 \left|k\right\rangle \left\langle k\right|\right)}}{\theta^{n-1} \cdot \sqrt{(E_1-\lambda)^2 + \cdots + (E_n-\lambda)^2}}$$

setting $\lambda = \left\langle H \right\rangle_{\rho_0} = {\rm tr}\left(H\rho_0\right)$ we obtain

$$t_{\rm rec}(\epsilon) \leq \hbar C_n \frac{\prod\limits_k \sqrt{{\rm tr}\left(\rho_0 \left|k\right\rangle \left\langle k\right|\right)}}{\theta^{n-1} \cdot \triangle E_{\rho_0}} \tag{19}$$

where $\triangle E_{\rho_0} = \sqrt{{\rm tr}\left(H^2\rho_0\right) - {\rm tr}\left(H\rho_0\right)^2}$ and $C_n = (n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})2^{n-1}\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$. Since θ is a distance in $\mathbb{T}^n(W)$, $\mathbb{T}^n(W) \subset S$ and $\pi : S \to \mathcal{P}^+$ is a Riemannian submersion , then

$${\rm d}_{\rm Bures}\left(\rho_o, \rho(t_{\rm rec})\right) \leq {\rm d}^S\left(\gamma(0), \gamma(t_{\rm rec})\right) \leq \theta$$

therefore

$$\sqrt{2-2F(\rho(t_{\rm rec}),\rho_0)} = {\rm d}_{\rm Bures}(\rho(t),\rho_0) \leq \theta < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

But observe that inequality (19) holds for any $\theta < \epsilon/2$, then letting θ tend to $\epsilon/2$ we obtain

$$t_{\rm rec}(\epsilon) \leq \hbar c_n \frac{\prod\limits_k \sqrt{{\rm tr}\left(\rho_0 \left|k\right\rangle \left\langle k\right|\right)}}{\epsilon^{n-1} \cdot \triangle E_{\rho_0}}$$

with $c_n = (n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})4^{n-1}\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$.

4. Discussion

In this paper we have found upper bounds for the recurrence time of a quantum mixed state with discrete spectrum of energies. By the case of discrete but finite spectrum, we have obtained two type of upper bounds; one of them depends on the uncertainty in the energy, and the other depends only on the *number of (relevant) states* based on the decomposition in the basis of eigenstates of the Hamiltonian. On the other hand, in the case of discrete but non-finite spectrum, using the same reasoning, we obtained two upper bounds defining the number of relevant states according to an statistical measurement.

To obtain this bounds, we estimate the volume of a geodesic ball in a sphere or the volume of a tube around a geodesic curve in a torus. The most general result related with uncertainty in the energy can be read as

$$\epsilon\frac{\hbar}{\triangle E_{\widetilde{\sigma}^N}} \leq t_{\rm rec}(\epsilon) \leq \left(\frac{c_N}{\epsilon^{N-1}N^{\frac{N}{2}}}\right)\frac{\hbar}{\triangle E_{\widetilde{\sigma}^N}} \tag{20}$$

for a mixed state ρ with N relevant states. That means that the mixed state ρ admits a finite dimensional approximation σ^N satisfying $\|\rho - \frac{1}{{\rm tr}(\sigma^N)}\sigma^N\|^2 = \delta_N$ with $\delta_N \to 0$ when $N \to \infty$ and $P_N = {\rm tr}(\sigma^N) \to 1$ when $N \to \infty$. In fact, this δ_N gives the accuracy in the estimation of the Poincaré recurrence time because

$$\|\rho(t_{\rm rec}(\epsilon)) - \rho(0)\| \leq 2\sqrt{\delta_N} + \sqrt{2}P_N\epsilon\sqrt{1-\frac{\epsilon^2}{8}}.$$

Moreover, we can state and prove that upper bounds for the recurrence time can be obtained without using the specific Hamiltonian (or uncertainty in the energy) but only the number of relevant states because for any $t > 0$ and any $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ there exists

$$t \leq t_{\rm rec}(\epsilon) \leq C(N)t \tag{21}$$

with $C(N)$ depending only on N, such that

$$\|\rho(t_{\rm rec}(\epsilon)) - \rho(0)\| \leq 2\sqrt{\delta_N} + 2P_N\left(1-\epsilon^2\right).$$

In the proof of the lower bound for the recurrence time it is established the existence of a quantum speed limit of a system $t_{QSL} \simeq \frac{\hbar}{\triangle E}$ [16, 35]. This is a pure quantum phenomenon, as it depends explicitly on the constant $\hbar$. The energy-time uncertainty relation for time-independent systems has been further extended in [36, 37], who determined the quantum speed limit time for general mixed states, no necessary orthogonal, as a function of their geometrical angle given by the Bures length. This quantum speed limit time is reduced when the Bures length between the initial an final states is smaller. Whereas t_{QSL} is determined by the initial mean energy in time-independence case or energy variance in the case of driven quantum systems [38, 39]. In the classical limit, $\hbar \to 0$, the quantum speed limit disappeared and, in principle, progresses arbitrarily fast.

Someone could expect that in the classical limit the quantum Poincaré recurrence becomes the classical one. But it should be noticed that, similarly to what happens with the quantum speed limit, the classic limit for the the Poincaré recurrence time related with the uncertainty in the energy is zero. Since by taking the limit $\hbar \to 0$ in inequality (20),

$$t_{\rm rec}(\epsilon) \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad \hbar \to 0.$$

This shows that this recurrence is a purely quantum phenomena. Nevertheless, since inequality (21) depends only in the number of relevant states N, it remains unaltered by the limit $\hbar \to 0$. Hence this phenomena survives to the classical limit. Our conjecture is that the classical Poincaré recurrence time is related with the number of relevant states (as a measure of the volume of the classical phase space). Finally, in view of that we conclude that the inequalities (20) and (21) are not two bounds for the same quantum recurrence process but two bounds for two different phenomena. The first one is purely quantum and the second one is related with the classical recurrence principle.

Acknowledgments

The research of the first author (VG) has been supported in part by Universitat Jaume I Research Program Project P1-1B2012- 18, and DGI-MINECO grant (FEDER) MTM2013-48371-C2-2-PDGI from Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MCINN), Spain. The research of the second author (JMS) was supported in part by DGI-MINECO grant (FEDER) MTM2013-48371-C2-2-PDGI from Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MCINN), Spain.

Appendix

Appendix A.1. Poincaré recurrence for isometries in metric spaces of finite measure

To prove theorem 1, we have used the following Poincaré recurrence theorem in metric spaces

Theorem 6. *Let (M, d, μ) be a metric space (M, d) with finite measure $\mu(M) < \infty$. Then for any volume preserving isometry $T : M \to M$, any point $p \in M$, and any $r > 0$ there exists $N_r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the distance $d(p, T^{N_r}(p))$ from p to $T^{N_r}(p) = \overbrace{T \circ \cdots \circ T}^{N_r \text{ times}}(p)$ is bounded from above by r, $(d(p, T^{N_r}(p)) < r)$, with N_r satisfying the following inequality*

$$1 \leq N_r \leq \frac{\mu(M)}{\mu(B_{r/2}(p))}$$

where $B_{r/2}(p)$ denotes the metric ball centered at $p \in M$ of radius $r/2$. Namely,

$$B_{r/2}(p) := \left\{ x \in M \, : \, d(p, x) < \frac{r}{2} \right\}$$

Proof. To prove that theorem we first need the following lemma

Lemma 7. *There exists $N_r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq N_r \leq \frac{\mu(M)}{\mu(B_{r/2}(p))}$ such that*

$$T^{N_r}\left(B_{r/2}(p)\right) \cap B_{r/2}(p) \neq \emptyset$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists $N \geq 1$ such that $T^i\left(B_{r/2}(p)\right) \cap T^j\left(B_{r/2}(p)\right) = \emptyset$ for all $i, j < N$, $i \neq j$, then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} T^i\left(B_{r/2}(p)\right)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu\left(T^i\left(B_{r/2}(p)\right)\right) \leq \mu(M)$$

But since T is a volume-preserving transformation, $N\mu\left(B_{r/2}(p)\right) \leq \mu(M)$. If we take S as the first integer such that

$$S > \frac{\mu(M)}{\mu\left(B_{r/2}(p)\right)}, \quad \left(S = \left\lceil \frac{\mu(M)}{\mu\left(B_{r/2}(p)\right)} \right\rceil\right).$$

then, there must exists $0 < 1 \leq i < j \leq S$ such that $T^i(B_{r/2}) \cap T^j(B_{r/2}) \neq 0$, but taking T^{-i} in this expression we obtain,

$$B_{r/2} \cap T^{j-i}(B_{r/2}) \neq 0$$

the lemma follows if we set $N_r = j - i$ and taking into account that $1 \leq j - i \leq S - 1$ and that $S \leq \frac{\mu(M)}{\mu\left(B_{r/2}(p)\right)} + 1$. □

Applying the above lemma there exists $q \in B_{r/2}$ such that $T^{N_r}(q) \in B_{r/2}(p)$. This implies that $\mathrm{d}(p, T^{N_r}(q)) \leq \frac{r}{2}$ but since T is an isometry $\mathrm{d}(T^{N_r}(p), T^{N_r}(q)) = \mathrm{d}(p, q)$ and hence, by the triangular inequality,

$$\mathrm{d}(p, T^{N_r}(p)) \leq \mathrm{d}(p, T^{N_r}(q)) + \mathrm{d}(T^{N_r}(p), T^{N_r}(q)) \leq \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{2} = r$$

□

Appendix A.2. Volume of balls in real space forms

Let $\mathbb{M}^n_\kappa$ be the simply connected Riemannian manifold of constant sectional curvature κ and dimension n. About each point $p \in \mathbb{M}^n_\kappa$ there exists a coordinate system $(t, \theta) \in [0, \pi/\sqrt{\kappa}] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, relative to which the Riemannian metric reads as (see [28, pag 39])

$$ds^2 = (dt)^2 + \mathrm{S}^2_\kappa(t)|d\theta|^2$$

where $\mathrm{S}_\kappa(t)$ is the solution to the following differential equation with initial conditions

$$\mathrm{S}''_\kappa + \kappa \mathrm{S}_\kappa = 0, \quad \mathrm{S}_\kappa(0) = 0, \quad \mathrm{S}'_\kappa(0) = 1.$$

Observe that the t-curves are geodesics and in the particular case of spheres (spaces of $\kappa = 1$),

$$\mathrm{S}_1(t) = \sin(t)$$

then, the Riemannian volume element is

$$d\mathrm{V} = \sin^{n-1}(t) d\mathrm{V}_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$$

where $dV_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ the Riemannian volume element in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. The volume of the geodesic ball B_r of radius r in $\mathbb{S}^n_1$ can be obtained as

$$\mathrm{V}(B_r) = \mathrm{V}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \int_0^r \sin^{n-1}(t) dt = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)} \int_0^r \sin^{n-1}(t) dt \qquad \text{(A.1)}$$

See also [27, pag 252] for the general expression of the volume of a geodesic ball.

Appendix A.3. The injectivity radius of a n-dimensional flat torus

Let $\mathbb{T}^n = \overbrace{U(1) \times \ldots \times U(1)}^{n\text{-times}}$ denote the n-dimensional torus, namely,

$$\mathbb{T}^n = \left\{ \left(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_n} \right) \, : \, \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

with the usual product law

$$\left(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_n} \right) \star \left(e^{i\alpha_1}, \ldots, e^{i\alpha_n} \right) = \left(e^{i(\theta_1 + \alpha_1)}, \ldots, e^{i(\theta_n + \alpha_n)} \right).$$

Taking derivatives at $t = 0$ in $\left(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_n} \right) \star \left(e^{it}, 1, \ldots, 1 \right)$ we get the left invariant vector field

$$X_1 = \left(ie^{i\theta_1}, 0, \ldots, 0 \right),$$

similarly,

$$X_2 = \left(0, ie^{i\theta_2}, 0, \ldots, 0\right), \quad \cdots \quad X_n = \left(0, 0 \cdots, 0, ie^{i\theta_n}\right)$$

we obtain a basis $\{X_1, \cdots, X_n\}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}^n$ of $\mathbb{T}^n$. In fact, since the group is abelian, $\{X_1, \cdots X_n\}$ are right invariant vector fields as well. Now given a n-tuple of non-zero real numbers $(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we can define the bi-invariant metric

$$g(X_j, X_k) = \delta_{j,k}\, g_j^2$$

The sectional curvature $\kappa(X_j, X_k)$ of the plane spanned by X_j and X_k in a Lie group with bi-invariant metric is given by (see [29]) $\kappa(X_j, X_k) = \frac{1}{4}\|[X_j, X_k]\|^2$. But, as can be easily checked, $[X_j, X_k] = 0$, and therefore $(\mathbb{T}^n, g)$ is a flat torus. Moreover, $(\mathbb{T}^n, g)$ as a Riemannian manifold is isometric to

$$\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda = \left(\mathbb{S}^1, g_1^2 d\theta_1^2\right) \times \cdots \times \left(\mathbb{S}^1, g_n^2 d\theta_n^2\right)$$

because

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda \to (\mathbb{T}^n, g), \quad (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) \to \varphi(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) = \left(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_n}\right)$$

is a Riemannian isometry since

$$d\varphi(v_1 \partial\theta_1, \ldots v_n \partial\theta_n) = v_1 X_1 + \cdots + v_n X_n, \quad \text{and} \quad ds^2(u, v) = g(d\varphi(u), d\varphi(v)).$$

Then we can obtain the volume of $(\mathbb{T}^n, g)$ as

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{T}^n, g) = (2\pi)^n \prod_{j=1}^{n} |g_j|$$

and the geodesic curves starting at $p = \left(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_n}\right)$ with tangent vector $v \in T_p\mathbb{T}^n$, $v = v_1 X_1(p) + \cdots v_n X_n(p)$ are given by (see [30, corollary 57])

$$\gamma(t) = \left(e^{iv_1 t}, \ldots, e^{iv_n t}\right) \star \left(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_n}\right)$$

moreover we can obtain the injectivity radius

Proposition 8. *The injectivity radius* $\mathrm{inj}(\mathbb{T}^n, g)$ *of* $(\mathbb{T}^n, g)$ *is given by*

$$\mathrm{inj}(\mathbb{T}^n, g) = \pi \min_{j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}} \{|g_j|\}$$

Proof. Since $(\mathbb{T}^n, g)$ is a flat manifold the injectivity radius is given (see [31, corollary 4.14 of chap. III]) by the one half of the length of the shortest closed non-trivial geodesic. But since $(\mathbb{T}^n, g)$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$, and $\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$ is a product manifold, this length is the length of the shortest closed geodesic of one of the factors (see [30, corollary 57]). □

References

[1] Arnol′d V I 199? *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics* (*Graduate Texts in Mathematics* vol 60) (New York: Springer-Verlag) ISBN 0-387-96890-3 translated from the 1974 Russian original by K. Vogtmann and A. Weinstein, Corrected reprint of the second (1989) edition

[2] Bocchieri P and Loinger A 1957 *Phys. Rev. (2)* **107** 337–338

[3] Schulman L S 1978 *Phys. Rev. A* **18**(5) 2379–2380

[4] Percival I C 1961 *J. Mathematical Phys.* **2** 235–239 ISSN 0022-2488

[5] Hogg T and Huberman B 1982 *Physical Review Letters* **48** 711–714
[6] Venugopalan A, Kumar D and Ghosh R 1995 *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **220** 563–575
[7] Castagnino M and Fortin S 2011 *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **50** 2259–2267
[8] De Ponte M, Cacheffo A, Villas-Bas C, Mizrahi S and Moussa M 2010 *European Physical Journal D* **59** 487–496
[9] Berman G, Bishop A, Borgonovi F and Dalvit D 2004 *Physical Review A - Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* **69** 062110–1
[10] Zurek W 1982 *Physical Review D* **26** 1862–1880
[11] Dyson L, Kleban M and Susskind L 2002 *J. High Energy Phys.* 011, 21 ISSN 1126-6708
[12] Barbn J and Rabinovici E 2003 *Journal of High Energy Physics* **7** 1073–1099
[13] Siopsis G 2007 *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **24** 4133–4145
[14] Peres A 1982 *Physical Review Letters* **49** 1118
[15] Bhartacharyya K and Mukherjee D 1986 *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **84** 3212–3214
[16] Mandelstam L and Tamm I 1945 *Acad. Sci. USSR. J. Phys.* **9** 249–254
[17] Uhlmann A 1986 *Reports on Mathematical Physics* **24** 229 – 240 ISSN 0034-4877
[18] Uhlmann A 1987 Parallel transport and holonomy along density operators Tech. rep. Leipzig Univ. Leipzig
[19] Uhlmann A 1989 *Ann. Physik (7)* **46** 63–69 ISSN 0003-3804
[20] Uhlmann A 1991 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **21** 229–236 ISSN 0377-9017
[21] Bengtsson I and Życzkowski K 2006 *Geometry of quantum states* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) ISBN 978-0-521-81451-5; 0-521-81451-0 an introduction to quantum entanglement
[22] Chruściński D and Jamiołkowski A 2004 *Geometric phases in classical and quantum mechanics* (*Progress in Mathematical Physics* vol 36) (Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston Inc.) ISBN 0-8176-4282-X
[23] Dąbrowski L and Jadczyk A 1989 *J. Phys. A* **22** 3167–3170 ISSN 0305-4470
[24] Dąbrowski L and Grosse H 1990 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **19** 205–210 ISSN 0377-9017
[25] Dąbrowski L 1991 *Nuovo Cimento B (11)* **106** 963–968 ISSN 0369-3554
[26] Gimeno V and Palmer V 2015 Mean curvature, volume and properness of isometric immersions (*Preprint* `arXiv:1504.00055`)
[27] Gray A 2004 *Tubes* 2nd ed (*Progress in Mathematics* vol 221) (Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag) ISBN 3-7643-6907-8 with a preface by Vicente Miquel
[28] Chavel I 1984 *Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry* (*Pure and Applied Mathematics* vol 115) (Orlando, FL: Academic Press Inc.) ISBN 0-12-170640-0 including a chapter by Burton Randol, With an appendix by Jozef Dodziuk
[29] Milnor J 1976 *Advances in Math.* **21** 293–329 ISSN 0001-8708
[30] O'Neill B 1983 *Semi-Riemannian geometry* (*Pure and Applied Mathematics* vol 103) (New York: Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers]) ISBN 0-12-526740-1 with applications to relativity
[31] Sakai T 1996 *Riemannian geometry* (*Translations of Mathematical Monographs* vol 149) (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society) ISBN 0-8218-0284-4 translated from the 1992 Japanese original by the author
[32] Kaminishi E, Sato J and Deguchi T 2015 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn (6)* **84** 064002 ISSN 0305-4470
[33] Venuti L C 2015 *arXiv e-prints [quant-ph]*, arXiv:1509.04352
[34] Chandrashekar C M 2010 *Cent. Eur. J. Phys. (6)* **8** 976–978 ISSN 1895-1082
[35] Margolus N and Levitin L B 1998 *Physica D* **120** 188-195
[36] Giovanetti V, Lloyd S and Maccone L 2003 *Phys. Rev. A* **67** 052109
[37] Giovanetti V, Lloyd S and Maccone L 2004 *J. Opt. G* **6** S807
[38] Deffner S and Lutz E 2013 *J. Phys. A: Math Theor.*, **46**, 335302
[39] Zhang Y J, Han W, Xia Y J, Cao J P and Fan H 2014 *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 4890; 10.1038/srep04890